RPS Energy

Goldsworth House, Denton Way, Goldsworth Park, Woking, Surrey, GU21 3LG, United Kingdom
T +44 (0)1483 746500 F +44 (0)1483 746505 E rpsenergy@rpsgroup.com W www.rpsgroup.com

The Directors
Bramlin Ltd.
Enterprise House
1-2 Hatfields
London SE1 9PG
United Kingdom

Project Ref: ECV1433

28th July, 2008

Gentlemen,

UPDATE OF THE EVALUATION OF THE LOGBABA GAS FIELD

In November 2007, RPS Energy ('RPS') delivered to you a report entitled "Competent Person's Report on the Assets of Rodeo Development Ltd" ('the 2007 CPR'). The 2007 CPR was prepared in a form that meets the requirements of the Alternative Investment Market (AIM) of the London Stock Exchange (LSE) as specified in the LSE Guidance Note, dated March 2006, pertaining to such documents. The only asset assessed and described in the Report was the Logbaba Gas Field.

The AIM Guidance requires that resource estimates are prepared and described on the basis of a "Standard". The resource estimates shown in the 2007 CPR are based on the resource definitions jointly set out by the Society of Petroleum Engineers ('SPE'), the World Petroleum Congress ('WPC'), the American Association of Petroleum Geologists ('AAPG') and the Society of Petroleum Evaluation Engineers ('SPEE') in April 2007 in a document entitled "Petroleum Resources Management System".

In response to the request you made on July 2nd, 2008, RPS has prepared an update of the evaluation of the Logbaba gas field based on data made available to it by Bramlin relating to the period between the date of the 2007 CPR and the beginning of July, 2008. The updated Logbaba evaluation prepared by RPS, including revisions of the tables presented in the 2007 CPR, is presented below.

Unless otherwise stated in this letter, this updated Logbaba evaluation uses the same information, methods and definitions as those used in preparation of the 2007 CPR. Some important common assumptions in respect to gas demand are restated because of their significance in respect to the economic valuation. The various caveats stated in the 2007 CPR in regard to the validity of the data received from Bramlin apply equally to this letter.

Gas Initially in Place

There has been no new data that relate to the subsurface (drilling, seismic, etc.), so the in-place volumes assessed by us in the 2007 CPR, and reproduced in the table below, are not changed. Nor are we aware of any changes to licence interests or terms.

	Low Estimate P90	Best Estimate P50	High Estimate P10
Gas Initially in Place (GIIP)	12.6	136	260

Table 1 – Logbaba GIIP, 100% basis (Bcf)

New information, discussed below, relates to timing and costs, which do affect the profiles, and to the price at which the products can be sold, which affect cash flows, and hence the value of the asset.

Production Profiles

No new subsurface information is available and no changes have been made in respect to reservoir potential. Production profiles have been revised to reflect the changes in gas demand as advised by Bramlin and discussed in this letter.

Bramlin has provided an updated drilling plan that includes minor changes, as shown below. The production profile is not affected by these changes because gas demand constraints over-ride the minor changes in timing of availability of supply.

New Wells	Available for Production	
	2007 CPR	July 2008 Update
1 & 2	Aug 2009	Aug 2009
3	Dec 2009	Feb 2010
4	May 2010	June 2010

There are no other timing changes in respect to the Field Development Plan.

Cost Profiles

Capital Expenditure

Bramlin has provided RPS Energy with information regarding changes in its Base Case capital expenditure (capex) estimates as follows:

Item	July 2008 Update versus 2007 CPR
Pre-operational Activities	Increased by $1,826,000. This arises from an increased estimate for the cost of 'Site and Access'.
Operational Activities	Increased by $19,830,000. This arises from increased drilling and pipeline costs.

The above cost changes have been applied to the Proved (1P) and Proved plus Probable (2P) Reserves cases. In this update, facilities costs have been increased to reflect the Proved plus Probable plus Possible (3P) production rate plateau of 40 MMscfd (versus a 2P plateau of 25 MMscfd).

There have also been some minor changes in capex phasing.

There are no other significant changes in capex.

Operating Expenditure

Bramlin has advised RPS that its forecast of fixed and variable operating expenditure (i.e. unit costs and cost drivers) is unchanged from the 2007 CPR.

Gas Market

Gas Prices

Bramlin has provided RPS with revised gas price estimates, as follows:

	2007 CPR	July 2008 Update
Industrial Users	$10/Mcf ($8.5/MMbtu)	$18.8/Mcf ($16.0/MMbtu)
Power Plant	$6.25/Mcf ($5.3/MMbtu)	$7.3/Mcf ($6.25/MMbtu)

Bramlin has advised RPS that it is basing its internal Company analysis of project economic viability, and making its internal investment decisions, on the basis of these new price forecasts, which Bramlin considers to be supported by the following new gas purchase documents provided to RPS:

- Signed contracts with five industrial users which specify the terms and conditions of future gas sales. These contracts support the gas price estimate of $16/MMbtu. While these contracts do not commit the five industrial users to the purchase of any particular quantity of gas which is available for sale, they demonstrate the intention at this time and the presence of a market. The indicated gas supply requirement for the five industrial users is about 800 MMbtu/day (680 Mscfd).

- Signed Letters of Intent with four industrial users which specify the basic terms of future gas sales. These Letters of Intent support the gas price estimate of $16/MMbtu. While these Letters of Intent do not commit the four industrial users to the purchase of any particular quantity of gas which is available for sale, they demonstrate the intention at this time and the presence of a market. The indicated gas supply requirement for the four industrial users is about 1,100 MMbtu/day (940 Mscfd).

The total quantity of gas that is subject to the gas purchase documents is about 1,900 MMbtu/day (1,620 Mscfd).

Bramlin has advised RPS that the Cameroon Minister of Energy and Water has indicated that a gas price for electricity generation of $6.25/MMBtu would be acceptable.

Gas Demand

Bramlin has advised RPS that it is currently seeking to establish gas purchase agreements with an additional 12 industrial users. These 12 industrial users have an indicative demand of 2,300 MMbtu/day (1,960 Mscfd). The total volume of industrial gas demand that Bramlin has attributed to specific users at this time is 4,200 MMbtu/day (3.6 MMscfd).

Bramlin has advised RPS that its gas marketing efforts to date support the expected growth in demand for natural gas in the Douala industrial region, as indicated in the 2007 CPR. RPS has applied the industrial gas demand assumptions of the 2007 CPR, as appropriate, in preparing this update. RPS has not independently verified the presence of an industrial market for gas at a price of $16/MMBtu beyond the volumes indicated by the contracts obtained by Bramlin to date.

In the 2007 CPR, it was assumed that the power plant would require 17 MMscfd from the onset of production in August 2009 (this does not apply to the 1P case in which the reservoir potential is not sufficient to provide the power plant turndown volume).

In the July 2008 Update it is assumed the power plant will require 17 MMscfd from April 2010 instead of August 2009. Prior to April 2010 it is assumed that the industrial user demand is as follows:

Aug 09 – Dec 09: 4.5 MMscfd

Jan 10 – Mar 10: 10.0 MMscfd

There are some common assumptions in the 2007 CPR and the 2008 Update that should be noted:

- The power plant receives supply in preference to industrial users. The maximum supply assumed to be provided to the power plant is 17 MMscfd.
- As Logbaba field production capacity falls below 19,000 MMbtu/day (the power plant "turndown supply"), a third party supply of gas will become available to make up the load gap so that the power plant may be kept on line.
- In the 1P case it is assumed that all gas is sold to industrial users (within market demand constraints) because the reservoir potential cannot meet the power plant turndown volume.
- In the 2P and 3P case it is assumed that gas in excess of 17 MMscfd is sold to industrial users. In the 2P case this assumption fits within the industrial user demand profile as specified in the 2007 CPR. However, the 3P case produces considerably more gas in excess of 17 MMscfd than the industrial user demand profile.

Condensate / NGL Price

In the 2007 CPR the real long-term condensate price over the project life was $60/Bbl. In the July 2008 Update it is $74/Bbl. The NGL price in both valuations is set at 70% of the real condensate price.

Fiscal Assumptions

There have been no changes in fiscal assumptions from the 2007 CPR.

Economic Assumptions

Annual inflation was assumed to be 2.0% in the 2007 CPR. It is assumed to be 2.5% in the 2008 Update.

Valuation

The valuation date in this update has been changed from 1 January 2007 to 1 August 2008.

The post-tax net present values for Bramlin's share of the Logbaba Field calculated in light of the changed assumptions mentioned above are materially higher than those in the November 2007 CPR (see Tables 6 and 7, below). This increase in value is primarily due to the increased forecast for gas prices, in particular prices to industrial customers.

Bramlin's Logbaba Asset contains Undeveloped Reserves, the volumes and values for which are tabulated below.

Gross Volumes

Logbaba Field	Proved	Proved plus Probable	Proved plus Probable plus Possible
Gas (Bcf)	10.04	104.88	202.39
Condensate (MMbbls)	0.17	1.78	3.42
NGL (MMbbls)	0.20	2.05	3.95

Table 2 – Logbaba Reserves, 100% basis, 2007 CPR

Logbaba Field	Proved	Proved plus Probable	Proved plus Probable plus Possible
Gas (Bcf)	10.08	103.95	202.20
Condensate (MMbbls)	0.17	1.76	3.41
NGL (MMbbls)	0.20	2.03	3.95

Table 3 – Logbaba Reserves, 100% Basis, 2008 Update

Net to Bramlin Volumes

Logbaba Field	Proved	Proved plus Probable	Proved plus Probable plus Possible
Gas (Bcf)	7.00	65.87	123.71
Condensate (MMbbl)	0.12	1.12	2.09
NGL (MMbbl)	0.16	1.29	2.41

Table 4 – Logbaba Reserves (Net to RDL Basis), 2007 CPR

Logbaba Field	Proved	Proved plus Probable	Proved plus Probable plus Possible
Gas (Bcf)	7.92	64.53	123.91
Condensate (MMbbl)	0.13	1.09	2.09
NGL (MMbbl)	0.15	1.26	2.42

Table 5 – Logbaba Reserves (Net to Bramlin Basis), 2008 Update

Net post-tax value, in US$ MM, Bramlin share

Logbaba Field	Proved	Proved plus Probable	Proved plus Probable plus Possible
NPV @ 10.00%	12.23	117.06	269.23
NPV @ 12.25%	10.63	98.17	224.68
NPV @ 15.00%	9.20	82.94	189.56

Table 6 – Logbaba post-tax NPVs (Net to RDL Basis), 2007 CPR

Logbaba Field	Proved	Proved plus Probable	Proved plus Probable plus Possible
NPV @ 10.00%	18.17	168.65	412.16
NPV @ 12.25%	15.32	145.35	352.28
NPV @ 15.00%	12.72	126.01	303.78

Table 7 – Logbaba post-tax NPVs (Net to Bramlin Basis), 2008 Update

Qualifications

RPS Energy is an independent consultancy specialising in petroleum reservoir evaluation and economic analysis. Except for the provision of professional services on a fee basis, RPS Energy does not have a commercial arrangement with any other person or company involved in the interests that are the subject of this report. Dr. Graeme Simpson, Director, Advisory of RPS Energy, has supervised the evaluation.

Dr. Simpson has thirty two years of oil and gas industry experience. He is a Director of RPS Energy, a Chartered Geologist, a Certified Petroleum Geologist, a Member of various professional societies, including the Energy Institute, the Geological Society, the Society of Petroleum Engineers and the Society of Petroleum Evaluation Engineers. He is an Honorary Professor of Petroleum Geology in the University of Aberdeen, Scotland. Other RPS Energy employees involved in this work hold at least a Masters degree in geology, geophysics, petroleum engineering or a related subject or have at least five years of relevant experience in the practice of geology, geophysics, petroleum engineering or petroleum economics.

Basis of Opinion

The evaluation presented in this report reflects our informed judgement based on accepted standards of professional investigation, but is subject to generally recognised uncertainties associated with the interpretation of geological, geophysical and engineering data. The evaluation has been conducted within our understanding of petroleum legislation, taxation and other regulations that currently apply to these interests. However, RPS Energy is not in a position to attest to the property title, financial interest relationships or encumbrances related to the property. Our estimates of potential resources and values are based on data provided by Bramlin. We have accepted, without independent verification, the accuracy and completeness of these data.

The report represents RPS Energy's best professional judgement and should not be considered a guarantee or prediction of results. It should be understood that any evaluation, particularly one involving exploration and future petroleum developments may be subject to significant variations over short periods of time as new information becomes available. Our liability is limited solely to Bramlin as per the Letter of Engagement between Bramlin Ltd and RPS Energy Limited.

This report relates specifically and solely to the subject asset and is conditional upon various assumptions that are described herein. This report must, therefore, be read in its entirety. This report was provided for the sole use of Bramlin on a fee basis. This report in its entirety may be reproduced or redistributed to any other persons. However in instances where excerpts only are to be reproduced or published this cannot be done without the express permission of RPS Energy.

RPS Energy has given and not withdrawn its written consent to the issue of this document with its name included within it and with inclusion therein of its report and references thereto in the form and context in which they are included for the purposes of AIM Rules. RPS Energy accepts responsibility for the information contained in the RPS Energy Report set out in this document and to the best knowledge and belief of RPS Energy, having taken all reasonable care to ensure that such is the case, the information contained in such report is in accordance with the facts and does not omit anything likely to affect the import of such information.

Yours faithfully,

RPS Energy,

Dr. Graeme Simpson
Director, Advisory